

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,603	08/29/2001	Yoshinobu Furuse	106145-00021	5934
75	590 07/19/2002			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	3
		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		45-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/940,603	FURUSE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kuang Y. Lin	1725			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN mailing date of this communication, even if time	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed or					
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.	the sector to the modite is			
3)☐ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u ion of Claims	allowance except for formal matte nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the ments is 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
•	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.				
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
1	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	- Everiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	(le Examilier.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	randor 251190 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	roreign priority under 35 0.5.0. §	113(a)-(a) 31 (i)-			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	was and a house been received				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	onlication No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign langual Acknowledgment is made of a claim for details.	ge provisional application has be	en received.			
Attachme	ent(s)	_				
2) Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
LI C Potent one	Trademark Office		Dort of Banar No. 3			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/940,603

Art Unit: 1725

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admitted prior art as set forth in pages 1-2 of the specification and further in view of either EP-123,735 or FR-2,519,579.

The admitted prior art substantially shows the invention as claimed except that it uses a welding technique instead of molding technique to join the frame members. However, both secondary references show to place frame members inside a mold cavity and then inject molten material around the frame members to join the same. Apparently, the molding technique forms a stronger joint since the molding material provide a greater joining area. In view of the prior art

Application/Control Number: 09/940,603

Art Unit: 1725

teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to use the molding technique of the secondary references to join the frame members of the admitted prior art to form a stronger joint.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4. examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

July 9, 2002